

Triplet pairing due to spin-orbit-assisted electron-phonon coupling.

Vladimir I. Fal'ko¹ and Boris N. Narozhny²

¹*Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YB, UK*

²*The Abdus Salam ICTP, Strada Costiera 11, Trieste, I-34100, Italy*

(Dated: February 2, 2008)

We propose a microscopic mechanism for triplet pairing due to spin-orbit-assisted electron interaction with optical phonons in a crystal with a complex unit cell. Using two examples of electrons with symmetric Fermi surfaces in crystals with either a cubic or a layered square lattice, we show that spin-orbit-assisted electron-phonon coupling can, indeed, generate triplet pairing and that, in each case, it predetermines the tensor structure of a p -wave order parameter.

PACS numbers: 74.20.Fg, 74.20.Rp, 74.20.-z, 74.25.Kc, 74.70.Pq

Spin-triplet superconductivity has been found in several materials including Sr_2RuO_4 [1] and uranium-based compounds (UPt_3 , UBe_{13} , UGe_2 , $URuGe$) [2, 3, 4, 5]. While the phenomenology of the triplet state is well known (it is similar to that of p -wave-superfluid He^3 [6, 7]), the microscopic origin of the triplet pairing in each of these materials and its relation to the band structure are the subject of intense investigation [1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 11, 12], and the existing models [8, 9, 11, 12] attribute the nature of the electron-electron attraction in the triplet channel to the interaction via magnetic fluctuations.

In this Letter, we show that a strong spin-orbit coupling in constituent atoms of a metal with a complex unit cell can generate triplet pairing via mechanism which involves optical phonons (instead of spin waves). The attention [13] to spin-orbit coupling in the electron band structure in the context of odd-parity pairing has been attracted by the observation of superconductivity in the inversion-asymmetric $CePt_3Si$ [14]. In other known triplet superconductors, spin-orbit coupling in the band structure can be ignored due to the inversion symmetry of their crystalline lattice. However, in center-symmetric crystals with a multi-component unit cell sublattice displacements may break inversion symmetry, so that atomic spin-orbit coupling can give rise to an additional, spin-dependent interaction between electrons and optical phonons. Such a spin-orbit-assisted electron-phonon (e-ph) coupling arises from a spin-dependent envelope between orbitals belonging to atoms from different sublattices (mutually displaced by the vibration in the optical phonon mode), and it may generate attraction in the spin-triplet Cooper channel via the BCS-like mechanism.

Below, we demonstrate that the spin-orbit-assisted e-ph coupling does, indeed, lead to spin-triplet p -wave pairing. The symmetry of the order parameter of the resulting superconducting state is determined by the particular crystalline lattice and the polarization properties of the strongest-coupled optical phonon modes, which we show for two model examples: of (i) a cubic lattice with two atoms in the unit cell and a spherical Fermi surface and (ii) a layered structure with in-plane square symmetry and a cylindrical Fermi surface.

For a cubic center-symmetric two-component lattice the electron spin coupling to the inversion symmetry breaking sublattice displacement $\mathbf{w}$ can be described phenomenologically using the invariant [15]

$$\hat{V}_c = (\alpha/2) (\mathbf{w} \cdot [\hat{\mathbf{p}} \times \hat{\sigma}] + [\hat{\mathbf{p}} \times \hat{\sigma}] \cdot \mathbf{w}). \quad (1)$$

In the second-quantized form, this can be represented as

$$\hat{V} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \zeta} V_{\mu\nu}^{\zeta}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \hat{f}_{\mu\mathbf{p}+\frac{\mathbf{q}}{2}}^{\dagger} \hat{f}_{\nu\mathbf{p}-\frac{\mathbf{q}}{2}} (\hat{a}_{\mathbf{q}\zeta} + \hat{a}_{-\mathbf{q}\zeta}^{\dagger}), \quad (2)$$

$$\hat{V}_c^{\zeta} = (\alpha/\sqrt{2\omega_{\zeta}m_{\zeta}}) \mathbf{l}_{\zeta} \cdot [\mathbf{p} \times \hat{\sigma}].$$

Here, $\hat{a}^{\dagger}(\hat{a})$ and $\hat{f}^{\dagger}(\hat{f})$ stand for creation(annihilation) operators of phonons and electrons, $\mathbf{l}_{\zeta}$, ω_{ζ} and m_{ζ} are the polarisation vector, frequency and reduced mass of the optical phonon ζ , indices μ, ν identify the electron in the Kramers doublet for each momentum (spin for a simple conduction band), and the parameter α is a material constant. In a cubic crystal, we neglect a small difference between the LO and TO phonon frequencies, thus $\omega_{\zeta} \approx \omega_o$. In a less symmetric crystal, $\hat{V}^{\zeta} = \sum_{k=x,y,z} \hat{\sigma}^k A_k^{\zeta}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$, where $A_k^{\zeta}(\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{q}) = A_k^{\zeta}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$ and $A_k^{\zeta}(-\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = -A_k^{\zeta}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$, thus, $\hat{V}^{\zeta}(-\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = -\hat{V}^{\zeta}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$, $\hat{V}^{\zeta}(\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{q}) = \hat{V}^{\zeta}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$. For example, in a layered crystal with a square lattice where we neglect the electron motion perpendicular to the x-y planes, we take into account two invariants analogous to Eq. (1), $\alpha_{\perp} w^z (p^x \hat{\sigma}^y - p^y \hat{\sigma}^x)$ and $\alpha_{\parallel} \hat{\sigma}^z (w^x p^y - w^y p^x)$, to distinguish between the electron coupling to optical phonons polarized perpendicular ($\zeta = z; \omega_z = \omega_{\perp}$) and in the plane of the layers ($\zeta = x, y; \omega_{x,y} = \omega_{\parallel}$):

$$\hat{V}_{sq}^z = \frac{\alpha_{\perp} (p^x \hat{\sigma}^y - p^y \hat{\sigma}^x)}{\sqrt{2\omega_{\perp}m_{\perp}}}, \quad \hat{V}_{sq}^{x(y)} = \frac{\pm \alpha_{\parallel} p^{y(x)} \hat{\sigma}^z}{\sqrt{2\omega_{\parallel}m_{\parallel}}}. \quad (3)$$

A spin-dependent e-ph coupling, Eq. (2) and a virtual polarisation of the crystalline unit cell [16] result in the effective spin-dependent interaction between electrons on

the opposite sides of the Fermi surface,

$$\mathcal{U} = - \sum_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2} M_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha\beta} \hat{f}_{\alpha\mathbf{p}_1}^\dagger \hat{f}_{\gamma-\mathbf{p}_1}^\dagger \hat{f}_{\delta-\mathbf{p}_2} \hat{f}_{\beta\mathbf{p}_2}, \quad (4)$$

$$M_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\zeta} \frac{\omega_{\zeta} V_{\alpha\beta}^{\zeta}(\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2) V_{\gamma\delta}^{\zeta}(-\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_1)}{\omega_{\zeta}^2 - (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_2} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1})^2}. \quad (5)$$

In Eq. (5), we neglect the dispersion of optical phonon modes, $\omega_{\zeta}(q) \approx \omega_{\zeta}$ and take into account the quasiparticle states close to the Fermi surface ($p = |\mathbf{p}| \approx p_F$), with $|\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_i}| < \omega_{\zeta}$, where $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}$ is the quasiparticle energy calculated with respect to the Fermi level. The effective electron-electron (e-e) interaction, $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ is spin- and momentum-dependent, therefore, it may allow for attraction in the spin-triplet channel and odd pairing.

To determine what triplet phase can be formed in a metal with the Cooper interaction in Eqs.(4,5), one needs to identify particle-particle channels in which the electron-electron interaction is attractive. The classification of pairing channels and the resulting triplet order parameter is usually based upon the symmetry of the electronic Hamiltonian [6]. Following a procedure developed for p -wave superfluidity in He^3 [6, 7], we determine operators of singlet ($\hat{b}$) and triplet ($\hat{t}_s$) electron pairs,

$$\hat{b}(\mathbf{p}) = i\sigma_{\mu\nu}^y \hat{f}_{\mu-\mathbf{p}} \hat{f}_{\nu\mathbf{p}}; \quad \hat{t}_s(\mathbf{p}) = i(\sigma^y \sigma^s)_{\mu\nu} \hat{f}_{\mu-\mathbf{p}} \hat{f}_{\nu\mathbf{p}}. \quad (6)$$

In terms of these operators [17], the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{U}$ in Eq. (4) can be written down in a separable form,

$$\mathcal{U} = - \sum_{\mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{p}_2} \left[T^{s_1 s_2} t_{s_1}^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_1) t_{s_2}(\mathbf{p}_2) + C \hat{b}^\dagger(\mathbf{p}_1) \hat{b}(\mathbf{p}_2) \right], \quad (7)$$

which includes the interaction both in the singlet channel [18], with the constant $C = M_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha\beta} \sigma_{\gamma\alpha}^y \sigma_{\beta\delta}^y$, and in the triplet channel, with

$$T^{s_1 s_2} = M_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha\beta} (\hat{\sigma}^y \hat{\sigma}^{s_1})_{\gamma\alpha} (\hat{\sigma}^{s_2} \sigma^y)_{\beta\delta}. \quad (8)$$

Below, we limit the analysis to the case of p -wave pairing (excluding higher-order angular harmonics) described by the tensor order parameter,

$$B_{ms} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} n_m \langle \hat{t}_s(\mathbf{p}) \rangle; \quad \mathbf{n} = \frac{\mathbf{p}}{p}, \quad (9)$$

and focus it on two model examples: (i) a 3D metal with a cubic lattice and a spherical Fermi surface and (ii) a layered material with a square in-plane lattice and a cylindrical Fermi surface. In such symmetric systems, triplet pairs can be characterised by well-defined quantum number related to irreducible tensor representations of the corresponding symmetry group.

(i). In the case of a model *metal with a cubic lattice and a spherical Fermi surface* the symmetry is the full rotational group SO_3 . The involment of the spin-orbit

coupling in the formation of the pair interaction assumes that the spin and orbital degrees of freedom of the Cooper pair are not independent, so that its total spin $S = 1$ and orbital angular momentum, $L = 1$ sum into the total angular momentum, $J = 0, 1, 2$. The tensor structure of the order parameter for these values of J is the following: $B_{ms}^{J=0} = \delta^{ms} D e^{i\phi}$, where B is a scalar; $B_{ms}^{J=1} = \epsilon^{msl} D_l$, where $\mathbf{D}$ is a complex vector; and $B_{ms}^{J=2}$ is a traceless symmetric tensor.

Thus, decompose e-e interaction in Eq. (7) into three channels corresponding to different angular momenta of the pair. Using the relation of the matrix $M_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha\beta}$ with the e-ph coupling $\hat{V}_{\zeta}^{\zeta}$ in Eq. (2), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} T^{s_1 s_2} &= g_{so} \sum_{m_1, m_2} n_1^{m_1} n_2^{m_2} G_{m_1 m_2}^{s_1 s_2}; \\ G_{m_1 m_2}^{s_1 s_2} &= \varkappa_0 \delta^{m_1 s_1} \delta^{m_2 s_2} + \varkappa_1 \epsilon^{m_1 s_1 l} \epsilon^{m_2 s_2 l} + \\ &+ \varkappa_2 \left[\delta^{m_1 m_2} \delta^{s_1 s_2} + \delta^{m_1 s_2} \delta^{m_2 s_1} - \frac{2}{3} \delta^{m_1 s_1} \delta^{m_2 s_2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The first term in the matrix $G_{m_1 m_2}^{s_1 s_2}$ is a product of projectors onto the $J = 0$ paired state; the second projects onto the states with $J = 1$, whereas the last term selects only the states with $J = 2$. Interaction in each channel is described by the corresponding constant,

$$g_J = \varkappa_J g_{so}, \quad g_{so} \sim (\alpha/\omega_o)^2 p_F^2 / 2m_o, \quad (10)$$

where the scale of the interaction depends on the strength of the original electron-phonon interaction for electrons near the Fermi surface, frequency ω_o and reduced mass m_o of the optical phonon (here we disregard a small difference between longitudinal and transverse optical phonon modes in a cubic crystal). Interaction in channels with different J 's differs by the numerical factors,

$$\varkappa_0 = -\frac{4}{3}; \quad \varkappa_1 = \frac{1}{2}; \quad \varkappa_2 = -\frac{1}{2}. \quad (11)$$

According to Eq. (7), the positive sign of g_J corresponds to attraction. Since only one of the effective coupling constants $g_J = g_{so} \varkappa_J$ is positive, we conclude that in the cubic system Cooper interaction due to the spin-orbit-assisted e-ph coupling leads to the condensate of pairs with the total angular momentum $J = 1$.

By selecting a pairing channel with $J = 1$ we do not fully determine the order parameter B_{ms} in the lowest energy triplet state, yet. In $B_{ms}^{J=1} = \epsilon^{msl} D_l$, $\mathbf{D} = D e^{i\phi} (\mathbf{l} + i\mathbf{l}') / \sqrt{\mathbf{l}^2 + (\mathbf{l}')^2}$ is a complex vector [6, 19] parametrized using two perpendicular vectors, $\mathbf{l}$ and $\mathbf{l}'$, $\mathbf{l}^2 \geq (\mathbf{l}')^2$. To find a favourable state, we minimize the condensate energy,

$$\mathcal{E} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}\mu} (|\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}| - E_{\mathbf{p}\mu}) + \sum_{ms} g |B_{ms}|^2, \quad (12)$$

TABLE I: $J = 1$ phases due to spin-orbit-assisted electron-phonon coupling in a metal with spherical Fermi surface and cubic lattice.

Vector $\mathbf{D}$	mean-field D	Energy, $\mathcal{E}$
$\mathbf{l}e^{i\phi}D$	$D = (2\omega_o/g)e^{-3/g\nu}$	$\mathcal{E} = -\nu g^2 D^2/3$
$\frac{\mathbf{l}+\mathbf{l}'}{\sqrt{2}}e^{i\phi}D$	$D = (\sqrt{2}\omega_o/g)e^{-6/g\nu}$	$\mathcal{E} = -\nu g^2 D^2/6$

as a function of $\mathbf{D}$, which includes finding the relative size of two vectors $\mathbf{l}$ and $\mathbf{l}'$ and the absolute value of D . The expression for $\mathcal{E}$ in Eq. (12) can be formally obtained using the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation which splits the electron-electron interaction in Eq. 7 using the field B_{ms} and, then, by integrating out fermionic degrees of freedom. Equation (9) is the self-consistency equation for the minimum of $\mathcal{E}$, and

$$E_{\mathbf{p}\mu} = \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}^2 + |\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{p}}|^2 - \mu} \left[|\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{p}} \times \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{p}}^*| \right] \quad (13)$$

describes the spectrum of excitations [6, 19] in the phase with order parameter B_{ms} , where three components of the complex vector $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{p}}$ are defined as

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{p}}^s = g \sum_m n_m B_{ms}. \quad (14)$$

The vector product $i\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{p}} \times \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{p}}^*$ determines the spin quantization axis and spin splitting for Bogolyubov quasiparticles for each direction $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{p}/p$ on the Fermi sphere and $\mu = \pm 1$ denotes the polarisation of the quasiparticle spin with respect to such an axis. In Eqs. (12,13), $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}$ is the quasiparticle energy in the normal state calculated with respect to the Fermi level, and g is the interaction constant in the attraction channel (here, $g = g_1 \equiv \kappa_1 g_{so}$).

In the context of pairing with $J = 1$ and $B_{ms}^{J=1} = \epsilon^{msl} D_l$, two possible extrema of the function $\mathcal{E}$ can be found using the analysis of the degeneracy space of the order parameter [19]. For fixed $|\mathbf{D}| = D$, $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{D})$ takes extremal values on vectors $\mathbf{D}$ that cover minimal surfaces under the application of SO_3 rotations. Two such possibilities are $\mathbf{D}_0 = \mathbf{l}e^{i\phi}D$ where $\mathbf{l}$ is a real unit vector (that's, $\mathbf{l}' = 0$), and $\mathbf{D}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{l}+\mathbf{l}'}{\sqrt{2}}e^{i\phi}D$, where $\mathbf{l}$ and $\mathbf{l}'$ are two perpendicular unit vectors. For each of these two cases we find the value of D by minimizing the ground state energy $\mathcal{E}$ in Eq. (12). The results [20] are shown in Table I indicating that the phase with $\mathbf{D}_0 = \mathbf{l}e^{i\phi}D$ and an anisotropic gap vanishing along the direction $\mathbf{l}$ (since $\mathbf{d} = gD[\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{l}]$) is energetically favorable.

Although the example of a cubic crystal with highly symmetric Fermi surface may be too abstract, nevertheless, it illustrates that the spin-orbit-assisted e-ph coupling can generate triplet pairing between electrons and that the suggested pairing mechanism is highly prescriptive for the structure of the resulting order parameter.

(ii). In a *layered material with a square-symmetric lattice*, the spin-orbit-assisted e-ph coupling is also able to

generate triplet pairing with definite phases. For simplicity (and with the reference to an almost cylindrical γ -sheet in the Fermi surface of Sr_2RuO_4 [1]) we consider below a metal with a cylindrical Fermi surface. Similarly to the BCS theory, the effective attraction $\mathcal{U}$ in Eqs. (4,7) is point-like, so that only electrons from the same layer can form a pair. Thus, we shall consider only two-dimensional order parameters (the interlayer tunneling can be treated using the Lawrence-Doniach approach [16]). In this case, triplet p -wave pairs can be characterized by the component J_z of the total angular momentum in the direction perpendicular to the layers, which is the sum of the projection $L_z = \pm 1$ of their orbital angular momentum and $S_z = 0, \pm 1$ of the pair spin. For $J_z = \pm 1$ and $J_z = \pm 2$, the quantum number J_z fully determines the order parameter, whereas for $J_z = 0$, pairs should be additionally classified according to whether they are symmetric (s) or antisymmetric (a) with respect to in-plane $x \leftrightarrow y$ reflection, for which we reserve notations $J_z = 0^s$ and $J_z = 0^a$, respectively. The second line in Table II summarizes tensor structure, $B_{ms} = P_{ms}^{J_z} e^{i\phi} D$ of the order parameter for phases with $J_z = 0^s, 0^a, \pm 1, \pm 2$, where matrices $P_{ms}^{J_z}$ form the orthogonal basis which realise irreducible representations of J_z , and where $D^2 = \sum_{ms} |B_{ms}|^2$.

Using matrices P^{J_z} the effective interaction $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ can be written down in the separable form, Eq. (7) with

$$T^{s_1 s_2} = \sum_{m_1 m_2 J_z} (\kappa_{J_z} g_{so}^\perp + \tilde{\kappa}_{J_z} g_{so}^\parallel) n_1^{m_1} n_2^{m_2} P_{s_1 m_1}^{J_z} P_{s_2 m_2}^{J_z *},$$

$$g_{so}^\zeta \sim (\alpha_\zeta / \omega_\zeta)^2 p_F^2 / 2m\zeta, \quad \zeta = \perp, \parallel,$$

which is determined by couplings (3) to optical phonons polarized across ($\zeta = \perp$) and parallel ($\zeta = \parallel$) to the layer. The values of coefficients κ_{J_z} and $\tilde{\kappa}_{J_z}$ are listed in Table II, which shows that positive coupling constants (determining the attraction in the corresponding Cooper channel) may appear only for electron pairs in the following channels: (a) $J_z = 0^a$ where $g_{0^a} = \kappa_{0^a} g_{so}^\perp + \tilde{\kappa}_{0^a} g_{so}^\parallel = 2g_{so}^\perp - g_{so}^\parallel$, and (b) $J_z = \pm 1$ where $g_{\pm 1} = \kappa_{\pm 1} g_{so}^\perp + \tilde{\kappa}_{\pm 1} g_{so}^\parallel = g_{so}^\parallel - g_{so}^\perp$. This indicates that, within the proposed mechanism, only two triplet phases are possible.

To determine which phase is realized we need to compare the relative strength of the electron coupling to the in- and out-of-plane sublattice vibrations. If $g_{so}^\parallel < \frac{3}{2}g_{so}^\perp$, so that $g_{0^a} > g_{\pm 1}$, the ground state with $J_z = 0^a$ is realized. This phase is characterized by the vector order parameter $\mathbf{d}$ and an isotropic gap,

$$\mathbf{d}_{0^a} = \frac{gD}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} n^y \\ -n^x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \begin{aligned} E_{\mathbf{p}} &= \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}^2 + \Delta^2}, \\ \Delta &= 2\omega_\perp e^{-2/\nu g}, \\ g &= 2g_{so}^\perp - g_{so}^\parallel. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

TABLE II: Triplet pairing order parameter for electrons with cylindrical Fermi surface in a layered material with square lattice and the interaction constants in the corresponding J_z channels due to the spin-orbit-assisted e-ph coupling.

$J_z = \pm 2$	$J_z = \pm 1$ (ABM)	$J_z = 0^s$	$J_z = 0^a$
$P_{sm}^{\pm 2} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta^{mx} \pm i\delta^{my})(\delta^{sx} \pm i\delta^{sy})$	$P_{sm}^{\pm 1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\delta^{mx} \pm i\delta^{my})\delta^{sz}$	$P_{sm}^{0^s} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\delta^{mx}\delta^{sx} + \delta^{my}\delta^{sy})$	$P_{sm}^{0^a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\delta^{my}\delta^{sx} - \delta^{mx}\delta^{sy})$
$\kappa_{\pm 2} = 0$	$\kappa_{\pm 1} = -1$	$\kappa_{0^s} = -2$	$\kappa_{0^a} = 2$
$\tilde{\kappa}_{\pm 2} = -1$	$\tilde{\kappa}_{\pm 1} = 1$	$\tilde{\kappa}_{0^s} = -1$	$\tilde{\kappa}_{0^a} = -1$

Here [20], $D = 2^{3/2}(\omega_{\perp}/g)e^{-2/\nu g}$ was found from mini-

mizing $\mathcal{E}$ in Eq. (12) with respect to D .

If $g_{so}^{\parallel} > \frac{3}{2}g_{so}^{\perp}$, so that $g_{\pm 1} > g_{0^a}$ (in terms of microscopic parameters, for a stronger coupling to the in-plane sublattice displacement, $\alpha_{\parallel}/(\sqrt{m_{\parallel}}\omega_{\parallel}) > \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\alpha_{\perp}/(\sqrt{m_{\perp}}\omega_{\perp})$), then the ground state belongs to the phase with $J_z = \pm 1$ which is similar to the Anderson-Brinkmann-Morel (ABM) phase discussed in the theory [7, 16] of superfluid He^3 . This phase also has a circularly isotropic gap:

$$\mathbf{d}_{\pm 1} = \frac{gD}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ n^x \pm in^y \end{pmatrix}; \quad \begin{aligned} E_{\mathbf{p}} &= \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}^2 + \Delta^2}, \\ \Delta &= 2\omega_{\parallel}e^{-2/\nu g}, \\ g &= g_{so}^{\parallel} - g_{so}^{\perp}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

In conclusion, we have shown that the spin-orbit-assisted e-ph coupling can generate triplet pairing with a definite tensor structure of the p -wave order parameter in each type of a crystal. For example, in a layered metal where conducting planes have square lattice structure, the proposed mechanism of triplet pairing leads to two possible phases in Eqs. (15) and (16), one of which (ABM) is actually considered [1] as the most plausible candidate for the triplet order parameter in superconducting Sr_2RuO_4 that has the layered perovskite structure with body-centred tetragonal group symmetry. On the one hand, the latter coincidence may be purely accidental, so that a detailed analysis of the phonon spectrum and the spin-orbit-assisted e-ph coupling strength in each particular material based upon first-principle calculations is needed. On the other hand, the proposed pairing mechanism suggests a n isotope effect of the usual sign in the triplet superconductivity materials (for example, using oxygen isotopes in Sr_2RuO_4 , as reported in Ref. [21, 22]), which would manifest the involvement of e-ph coupling in the formation of triplet pairing.

Authors thank A.Mackenzie and E.Tosatti for discussions. This work was supported by EPSRC and EC NMP2-CT2003-505587 'SFINX'.

- [1] A.P. Mackenzie and Y. Maeno, Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 657 (2003) and refs. therein.
- [2] R. Joynt and L. Taillefer, Rev. Mod. Phys. **74**, 235 (2002)
- [3] S.S. Saxena *et al.*, Nature **406**, 587 (2000)
- [4] H.R. Ott *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 1915 (1984)
- [5] F. Hardy and A. D. Huxley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 247006 (2005)
- [6] A.J. Leggett, Rev. Mod. Phys. **47**, 331 (1975)
- [7] P.W. Anderson and W.F. Brinkmann, in *The Physics of Liquid and Solid Helium*, eds. K.H. Bennemann and J.B. Ketterson, Wiley (1978)
- [8] M. Sigrist and T.M. Rice, J. Phys. Condens. Matt. **7**, L643 (1995); D.F. Agterberg, T.M. Rice, M. Sigrist, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 3374 (1997); M.E. Zhitomirsky and T.M. Rice, *ibid* **87**, 057001 (2001); T.M. Rice, Nature **396**, 627 (1998)
- [9] D.L. Cox and M.B. Maple, Physics Today **48**(2), 32 (1995); R. Roussev and A.J. Millis, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 140504 (2001); Z. Wang, W. Mao, and K. Bedell, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 257001 (2001)
- [10] I.I. Mazin and D. Singh, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 733 (1997); *ibid* **82**, 4324 (1999)
- [11] A. Shick and W. Pickett, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 300 (2001)
- [12] T.R. Kirkpatrick and D. Belitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 037001 (2004)
- [13] L.P. Gor'kov and E.I. Rashba, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 037004 (2001); P.A. Frigeri *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 097001 (2004); K.V. Samokhin, E.S. Zijlstra, and S.K. Bose, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 094514 (2004)
- [14] E. Bauer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 02703 (2004)
- [15] We symmetrize $\hat{V}_c$ to take into account non-commutation of the momentum $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ and the coordinate-dependent $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x})$.
- [16] J.B. Ketterson and S.N. Song, *Superconductivity*, Cambridge UP, 1998
- [17] The singlet operator $\hat{b}$ is an even function of $\mathbf{p}$, while the triplet $\hat{t}_s$ are odd. Thus, the e-ph coupling generates an effective electron-electron interaction in the triplet channel, due to the asymmetry $\hat{V}^{\zeta}(-\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = -\hat{V}^{\zeta}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$ and $\hat{V}^{\zeta}(\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{q}) = \hat{V}^{\zeta}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$ of its spin-orbit-assisted part. The latter property is specific to optical phonons. The acoustic e-ph coupling has a different symmetry, $\hat{V}^{\zeta}(\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{q}) = -\hat{V}^{\zeta}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$ and cannot generate the effective interaction in the p -wave channel.

- [18] S -wave interaction in Eq. (7) slightly changes the usual BCS coupling. This may be ignored if the latter is less than the Coulomb repulsion. In the p -wave channel Coulomb interaction is suppressed, due to screening.
- [19] V.I. Fal'ko and I.S. Shapiro, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **91**, 1194 (1986) [Sov. Phys. JETP **64**, 706 (1986)]
- [20] ν is the Fermi density of states in one spin component.
- [21] Z.Q. Mao, *et al*, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 144514 (2001)
- [22] The sign of the isotope effect observed in Ref. [21] de-

pended on the quality of the structure and it is not clear whether it was related to the change of the frequency of the optical phonon mode upon the isotopic substitution. The inverse sign of the isotope effect in the purest samples in Ref. [21] may have been the result of pair breaking by the annealing-induced disorder and differently diffusing light and heavy isotopes.